

TICAGRELOR VERSUS CLOPIDOGREL IN PATIENTS WITH STEMI TREATED WITH FIBRINOLYTIC THERAPY: 12-MONTH RESULTS FROM THE TREAT Trial.

Otavio Berwanger, MD, PhD - On behalf of the TREAT Trial Steering Committee and Investigators

Funding Source: Astra Zeneca (Investigator-Initiated Trial)



TREAT Trial – 30 Day Results

Ticagrelor vs. Clopidogrel in Patients with STEMI Treated with Fibrinolytics



* Absolute difference (in percentage) presented as bilateral 95% confidence interval.

† 1% absolute difference margin non inferiority test. Non-inferiority test was done considering an one sided test.

ACC LBCT 2018 Berwanger O et al. JAMA Cardiology 2018;3:391-399.



Treat

Steering Committee

- Prof. Otavio Berwanger (Brazil)- Chair
- Prof. Renato D. Lopes (USA)
- Prof. Leopoldo Piegas (Brazil)
- Prof. Jose Carlos Nicolau (Brazil)
- Prof. Helio Penna Guimaraes (Brazil)
- Prof. Antônio Carlos Carvalho (Brazil) (*in memoriam*)
- Prof. Francisco Fonseca (Brazil)
- Prof. José Francisco Saraiva (Brazil)
- Prof. German Malaga (Peru)

- Prof. Chris Granger (USA)
- Prof. Alexander Parkhomenko (Ukraine)
- Prof. Stephen Nicholls (Australia)
- Prof. Harvey White (New Zealand)
 - Prof. Lixin Jiang (China)
 - Prof. Oleg Averkov (Russia)
 - Prof. Carlos Tajer (Argentina)
- Prof. Shaun Goodman (Canada)

Data Monitoring Committee (DMC)

- John H. Alexander (Chair);
- Karen Pieper (Voting Member)
- Stefan James (Voting Member)
- Tiago Mendonça (DMC statistician)

Treat

TREAT Trial

- Design: Academically-led, phase III, non-inferiority, international, multicenter, randomized, and open-label study with blinded-outcome adjudication
- Prevention of Bias: concealed allocation (central web-based randomization) + intention-totreat analysis.
- Trial Size: 3,794 patients .This sample size provides greater than 90% statistical power, considering an event rate of 1.2% at 30 days, noninferiority (absolute) margin of 1.0%, a one-sided alpha of 2.5%, and assuming a 1:1 allocation ratio.
- Quality Control: e-CRF, Risk-Based monitoring visits (On-Site, Remote and Centralized visits) + data management.





Treat

Selected Baseline Characteristics

Characteristic	Ticagrelor (n=1,913)	Clopidogrel (n=1,886)
Median age, years	59.0	58.8
Male, %	77.4	76.8
CV risk factors, %		
Habitual smoker	46.8	47.3
Hypertension	56.6	57.1
Dyslipidemia	27.9	28.2
Diabetes Mellitus	17.6	16.1
History, %		
Myocardial Infarction	9.5	8.1
Percutaneous coronary intervention	5.9	5.2
Coronary-artery bypass grafting	0.8	0.7

Co-Interventions, Fibrinolytic Therapy

	iterventions,Fi	brinolytic Th	ierapy
Medication		Ticagrelor (n=1,913)	Clopidogrel (n=1,886)
Start of randomized treatment			
Time from fibrinolytic administration to rai	ndomization, h, median	11.4	11.5
Fibrinolytic Therapy , %			
Fibrin-Specific		76.2	75.6
Non Fibrin-Specific		23.8	24.4
Clopidogrel before randomization , %		87.0	85.9
Invasive procedure performed during stud	dy, %		
PCI		60.4	58.7
Within 24 hours after randomization		42.3	42.0
Cardiac Surgery, %		3.2	3.1
Adherence to study drug at 12 months Fo	ollow up, %	89.1	92.5

In-Hospital Treatments

Treat

Medication	Ticagrelor (n=1,913)	Clopidogrel (n=1,886)
In-hospital treatment , %		
Aspirin	98.8	98.9
Unfractioned heparin	40.8	40.3
Low- molecular-weight heparin	70.0	69.6
Fondaparinux	4.1	4.1
Bivalirudin	0.7	1.4
Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor	5.3	4.9
Beta-blocker	75.5	75.9
ACE inhibitor or ARB	60.5	60.3
Statin	93.1	93.5
Proton pump-inhibitor	55.9	57.3



P values and hazard ratios [95% CI] were calculated by Cox regression analysis.





CV Death, MI, Stroke, Severe Recurrent Ischemia, TIA, or other Arterial Thrombotic Events – 12 months





CV Death, MI, or Stroke – 12 months





POOLED ANALYSIS

TREAT and PLATO

A) The composite outcome of death from vascular causes, myocardial infarction, or stroke

Study	Ticagrelor Events Total		Clopidogrel		Risk Ratio [95% CI]			Weight	Weight
Olddy	Lvents	IUtai	Lvents	Total	Favors Ticagrelor	Favors Clopid	ogrel	(IIXed)	(randoni)
TREAT	129	1913	137	1886		+	0.93 [0.74; 1.17]	12.0%	12.1%
PLATO	864	9333	1014	9291			0.85 [0.78; 0.92]	88.0%	87.9%
Fixed effect model Random effects mode	el	11246		11177			0.86 [0.79; 0.93] 0.86 [0.79; 0.93]	100.0% 	 100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, τ	$\tau^2 = 0, \rho =$	0.47			0.8	1 1.25			

B) The composite outcome of CV Death, MI, stroke, severe recurrent ischemia, TIA, or other arterial thrombotic events

	Ticagrelor		Clopidogrel		Risk Ratio [95% CI]			Weight	Weight
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total	Favors Ticagrelor	Favors Clopic	logrel	(fixed)	(random)
TREAT	153	1913	171	1886	 		0.88 [0.72; 1.09]	10.6%	9.9%
PLATO	1290	9333	1456	9291	— — —		0.88 [0.82; 0.95]	89.4%	90.1%
Fixed effect model		11246		11177			0.88 [0.83; 0.94]	100.0%	
Random effects mod	el						0.88 [0.83; 0.94]		100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$,	$\tau^2 = 0, p =$	1.00			0.8 1	1.25			



POOLED ANALYSIS

TREAT and PLATO-STEMI Subgroup

A) The composite outcome of death from vascular causes, myocardial infarction, or stroke

-	Ticagrelor Clopidogrel			ogrel		Weight	Weight		
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total	Favors Ticagrelor	Favors Clopidogrel	-	(fixed)	(random)
TREAT PLATO-STEMI	129 331	1913 3752	137 384	1886 3792		0.93	3 [0.74; 1.17] 7 [0.76; 1.00]	26.5% 73.5%	26.7% 73.3%
Fixed effect model Random effects model		5665		5678		0.89 0.89) [0.79; 1.00]) [0.79; 1.00]	100.0% 	 100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, τ^2	= 0, p = 0.0	65			0.8 ·	1.25			

B) The composite outcome of CV Death, MI, stroke, severe recurrent ischemia, TIA, or other arterial thrombotic events

	Ticagı	relor	Clopid	ogrel		Risk Ratio [95% CI]		Weight	Weight
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total	Favors Ticagrelor	Favors Clopidogrel		(fixed)	(random)
TREAT PLATO-STEMI	153 466	1913 3752	171 538	1886 3792		0.88 0.88	[0.72; 1.09] [0.78; 0.98]	24.3% 75.7%	23.5% 76.5%
Fixed effect model Random effects model		5665		5678		0.88 0.88	[0.79; 0.97] [0.79; 0.97]	100.0% 	 100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I = 0\%$, $\tau =$	= 0, p = 0.	95			0.8 1	1.25			

Conclusions and Implications

 In patients aged under 75 years with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, administration of ticagrelor after fibrinolytic therapy may not reduce the frequency of major cardiovascular events at 12 months when compared with clopidogrel.

Frei

- Results suggest the safety of ticagrelor with regards to major bleeding, in comparison to clopidogrel, up to 12 months in fibrinolytic-treated STEMI patients.
- Finally, when TREAT and PLATO are combined in a pooled analysis, results suggest a reduction of major cardiovascular events, with no statistical heterogeneity evident between trials.

JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY © 2019 BY THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY FOUNDATION PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER

Ticagrelor versus Clopidogrel in Patients with STEMI Treated with Fibrinolytic Therapy: TREAT Trial

Otavio Berwanger, MD, PHD,^a Renato D. Lopes, MD, MHS, PHD,^{b,c} Diogo D.F. Moia, PHARMD,^a Francisco A. Fonseca, MD, PHD,^c Lixin Jiang, MD, PHD,^d Shaun G. Goodman, MD, MSc,^e Stephen J. Nicholls, MD, PHD,^f Alexander Parkhomenko, MD, PHD,^g Oleg Averkov, MD, PHD,^h Carlos Tajer, MD, PHD,ⁱ Germán Malaga, MD,^j Jose F.K. Saraiva, MD, PHD,^k Helio P. Guimaraes, MD, PHD,^a Pedro G.M. de Barros e Silva, MD, MHS, PHD,^a Lucas P. Damiani, MSc,^a Renato H.N. Santos,^a Denise M. Paisani, PHD,^a Tamiris A. Miranda, PHARMD,^a Nanci Valeis, DR,^a Leopoldo S. Piegas, MD, PHD,¹ Christopher B. Granger, MD, PHD,^b Harvey D. White, MD, DSc,^m Jose C. Nicolau, MD, PHDⁿ

JACCC JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY

Published online March 18, 2019

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND The efficacy of ticagrelor in the long-term post ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) treated with fibrinolytic therapy remains uncertain.

OBJECTIVES To evaluate the efficacy of ticagrelor when compared with clopidogrel in STEMI patients treated with fibrinolytic therapy.

METHODS We conducted an international, multicenter, randomized, open-label with blinded endpoint adjudication trial that enrolled 3,799 patients (age < 75 years) with STEMI receiving fibrinolytic therapy. Patients were randomized to ticagrelor (180-mg loading dose, 90 mg twice daily thereafter) or clopidogrel (300-to-600-mg loading dose, 75 mg daily thereafter The key outcomes were cardiovascular mortality, myocardial infarction, or stroke, and the same composite outcome with the addition of severe recurrent ischemia, transient ischemic attack, or other arterial